27

28

## BEFORE THE ARIZONA STATE

## BOARD OF TECHNICAL REGISTRATION



In the Matter of:

Steve Tinsley Certification No. 62662

Platinum Property Inspections Firm Registration No. 22590

Respondents

Case No. HI20-032

CONSENT AGREEMENT and ORDER OF DISCIPLINE

In the interest of a prompt and judicious resolution of the above-captioned matter before the Arizona State Board of Technical Registration ("Board") and consistent with the public interest, statutory requirements, and the responsibilities of the Board, and pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-101 et seq., and A.A.C. R4-30-120(G), the undersigned party, Steve Tinsley ("Respondent"), holder of Registration No. 626662 and Platinum Property Inspections, (Respondent Firm), holder of Registration No. 22590 and the Board enter into the following Recitals, Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order ("Consent Agreement") as a final disposition of this matter.

## RECITALS

- 1. Respondent has read and understands this Consent Agreement and has had the opportunity to discuss this Consent Agreement with an attorney, or has waived the opportunity to discuss this Consent Agreement with an attorney.
- 2. Respondent understands that he has a right to a public administrative hearing concerning this case. He further acknowledges that at such formal hearing he could present evidence and cross-examine witnesses. By entering into this Consent Agreement, Respondent knowingly, voluntarily, and irrevocably waives his right to such an administrative hearing, as well as rights of rehearing, review, reconsideration, appeal, judicial review or any other administrative and/or judicial action concerning the matters set forth herein.

- 3. Respondent affirmatively agrees that this Consent Agreement shall be irrevocable.
- 4. Respondent understands that this Consent Agreement or any part of the agreement may be considered in any future disciplinary action by the Board against him.
- 5. The Consent Agreement, any record prepared in this matter, all investigative materials prepared or received by the Board and all related exhibits and materials, are public records (as defined in A.R.S. § 41-158.18) upon acceptance by the Board of this Consent Agreement and may be retained in the Board's files pertaining to this matter.
- 6. Respondent understands this Consent Agreement deals with Board case number HI20-032 involving allegations that Respondent engaged in conduct that would subject him to discipline under the Board's statutes and rules. The investigation into these allegations against Respondent shall be concluded upon the Board's adoption of this Consent Agreement.
- 7. Respondent understands that this Consent Agreement does not constitute a dismissal or resolution of any other matters currently pending before the Board, if any, and does not constitute any waiver, express or implied, of the Board's statutory authority or jurisdiction regarding any other pending or future investigation, action or proceeding.
- 8. Respondent also understands that acceptance of this Consent Agreement does not preclude any other agency, subdivision, or officer of this State from instituting any other civil or criminal proceedings with respect to the conduct that is the subject of this Consent Agreement.
- 9. Respondent acknowledges and agrees that, upon signing this Consent Agreement and returning this document to the Board's Executive Director, he may not revoke his acceptance of the Consent Agreement or make any modifications to the document regardless of whether the Consent Agreement has been signed on behalf of the Board. Any modification to this original document is ineffective and void unless mutually agreed by the parties in writing.
- 10. This Consent Agreement is subject to the approval of the Board and is effective only when accepted by the Board and signed on behalf of the Board. If the Board does not accept this Consent Agreement, the Board retains its authority to hold a formal administrative hearing pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-128(E). In the event that the Board does not approve this Consent Agreement, it is withdrawn and shall be of no evidentiary value and shall not be relied upon nor

introduced in any action by any party, except that the parties agree that should the Board reject this Consent Agreement and this case proceeds to hearing, Respondent shall assert no claim that the Board was prejudiced by its review and discussion of this document or any records relating thereto.

- 11. If a court of competent jurisdiction rules that any part of this Consent Agreement is void or otherwise unenforceable, the remainder of the Consent Agreement shall remain in full force and effect.
- 12. Respondent understands that any violation of this Consent Agreement may result in disciplinary action, including suspension or revocation of the registration under A.R.S. § 32-150.
- 13. Respondent agrees that the Board will adopt the following Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order.

## **FINDINGS OF FACT**

- 1. The Board is the duly constituted authority for the regulation and control of the practice of Certified Home Inspectors in the State of Arizona.
- 2. Respondent is the holder of Certification No. 62662. Respondent is the principal of Platinum Property Inspections, current Registration No. 22590.
- 3. On April 20, 2020 Board staff received a complaint alleging that Respondent failed to observe and report on the presence of mold near the hot water heater and evidence of water damage in the kitchen while conducting a home inspection at 9075 E. Cranberry Road in Dewey, Arizona, on or about October 23, 2019. It was further alleged that Respondent Firm engaged in the practice of home inspection without firm registration with the board.
- 4. On May 7, 2020 Board staff spoke with Respondent, who stated that he was unaware that he needed to have his firm registered with the Board. Respondent stated he would complete the registration and provide Board staff with confirmation once received. Respondent stated that he did not evaluate the home for mold, noting he was not qualified to determine the presence of mold. Board staff requested that Respondent send his response in writing.
- 5. On May 13, 2020 Board staff received Respondent's response to the allegations.
  Respondent agreed that Platinum Property Inspections was not registered with the Board at the

time this home inspection was conducted. Respondent again stated that he is not a mold inspector and was unable to determine if a small dark spot on the wall next to the water heater gas valve was mold or a scuff mark on the wall. Respondent stated that the "excessive mold" was covered up by baseboards and flooring.

- 6. On May 14, 2020 Respondent Firm was issued Registration No. 22590.
- 7. On September 24, 2020 the Board's Enforcement Advisory Committee ("EAC") convened to review the complaint against the Respondent. Committee members discussed the allegation of the presence of visible mold and water damage, noting that the discovery of extensive mold and water damage occurred only after the Alleger had the floors and baseboards lifted/removed and occurred approximately 60 days after the home inspection. Based on this, committee members were unable to substantiate the allegation of visible mold and water damage. After reviewing other evidence submitted, the Committee determined that the report failed to meet the Standards of Professional Practice for Arizona Home Inspectors ("S.O.P.") and found that:
  - A. Respondent failed to report on the type of ceiling structure present as required by S.O.P. 4.2
  - B. Respondent failed to report the methods used to inspect the roofing as required by S.O.P. 6.2
  - C. Respondent failed to report the method used to inspect underfloor crawlspaces and attics as required by S.O.P. 4.2
  - D. Respondent failed to report on functional flow as required in S.O.P. 7.1
  - E. Respondent failed to report on cross connections as required by S.O.P. 7.1
  - F. Respondent failed to report on functional drainage as required by S.O.P. 7.1
  - G. Respondent failed to observe and report service conductor type and condition as required by S.O.P. 8.1
  - H. Respondent failed to report on the condition of grounding as required by S.O.P. 8.1
  - I. Respondent failed to report on the type and condition and condition of the

made payable to the State of Arizona Board of Technical Registration, according to the

27

28

provisions of A.R.S. § 32-128(H).

1	ORIGINAL filed this grant day of
2	December, 2020, with:
3	·
1 Transmission	
5	Arizona State Board of Technical Registration
6	1110 W. Washington, Suite 240 Phoenix, AZ 85007
7	COPY of the foregoing mailed via Certified Mail
8	No. USPS and First Class mail this the day of December, 2020, to:
9	rist class mail this the day of t
10	Steve Tinsley Platinum Property Inspections
11	P.O. Box 11326
12	Chino Valley, Arizona 86323
13	
14	By: SiCerell
15	by
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	,
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	