BEFORE THE ARIZONA STATE

BOARD OF TECHNICAL REGISTRATION

3

1

3

4

5

In the Matter of:

Registration No. 11374

George H. Baker Architect

Firm Registration No. 14666

Respondents

George Baker

Architect

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13 يًّا ا

15

16

17

18

14)

20

21

22 23

7.4

25

26

27

28

Case No. P18-051

CONSENT AGREEMENT and ORDER FOR VOLUNTARY

SURRENDER

In the interest of a prompt and judicious resolution of the above-captioned matter before the Arizona State Board of Technical Registration ("Board") and consistent with the public interest, statutory requirements, and the responsibilities of the Board, and pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-101 et seq., and A.A.C. R4-30-120(G), the undersigned party, George Baker ("Respondent"), holder of Registration No. 11374, George H. Baker, Architect ("Respondent Firm:), holder of Registration No. 14666, and the Board enter into the following Recitals, Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order ("Consent Agreement") as a final disposition of this matter.

RECITALS

- 1. Respondent has read and understands this Consent Agreement and has had the opportunity to discuss this Consent Agreement with an attorney, or has waived the opportunity to discuss this Consent Agreement with an attorney.
- 2. Respondent understands that he has a right to a public administrative hearing concerning this case. He further acknowledges that at such formal hearing he could present evidence and cross-examine witnesses. By entering into this Consent Agreement, Respondent knowingly, voluntarily, and irrevocably waives his right to such an administrative hearing, as well as rights of rehearing, review, reconsideration, appeal, judicial review or any other administrative and/or judicial action concerning the matters set forth herein.

- 4. Respondent understands that this Consent Agreement or any part of the agreement may be considered in any future disciplinary action by the Board against him.

5. The Consent Agreement, any record prepared in this matter, all investigative materials prepared or received by the Board and all related exhibits and materials, are public records (as defined in A.R.S. § 41-158.18) upon acceptance by the Board of this Consent Agreement and may be retained in the Board's files pertaining to this matter.

6. Respondent understands this Consent Agreement deals with Board case number P18-051 involving allegations that Respondent engaged in conduct that would subject him to discipline under the Board's statutes and rules. The investigation into these allegations against Respondent shall be concluded upon the Board's adoption of this Consent Agreement.

7. Respondent understands that this Consent Agreement does not constitute a dismissal or resolution of any other matters currently pending before the Board, if any, and does not constitute any waiver, express or implied, of the Board's statutory authority or jurisdiction regarding any other pending or future investigation, action or proceeding.

8. Respondent also understands that acceptance of this Consent Agreement does not preclude any other agency, subdivision, or officer of this State from instituting any other civil or criminal proceedings with respect to the conduct that is the subject of this Consent Agreement.

9. Respondent acknowledges and agrees that, upon signing this Consent Agreement and returning this document to the Board's Executive Director, he may not revoke his acceptance of the Consent Agreement or make any modifications to the document regardless of whether the Consent Agreement has been signed on behalf of the Board. Any modification to this original document is ineffective and void unless mutually agreed by the parties in writing.

10. This Consent Agreement is subject to the approval of the Board and is effective only when accepted by the Board and signed on behalf of the Board. If the Board does not accept this Consent Agreement, the Board retains its authority to hold a formal administrative hearing pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-128(E). In the event that the Board does not approve this Consent Agreement, it is withdrawn and shall be of no evidentiary value and shall not be relied upon nor

introduced in any action by any party, except that the parties agree that should the Board reject this Consent Agreement and this case proceeds to hearing, Respondent shall assert no claim that the Board was prejudiced by its review and discussion of this document or any records relating thereto.

- 11. If a court of competent jurisdiction rules that any part of this Consent Agreement is void or otherwise unenforceable, the remainder of the Consent Agreement shall remain in full force and effect.
- 12. Respondent understands that any violation of this Consent Agreement may result in disciplinary action, including suspension or revocation of the registration under A.R.S. § 32-150.
- 13. Respondent agrees that the Board will adopt the following Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order.

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. The Board is the duly constituted authority for the regulation and control of the practice of Architecture in the State of Arizona.
 - 2. Respondent is the holder of Arizona Registered Architect, Registration No. 11374.
- 3. Respondent Firm registration expired on April 30, 2009 and was not registered with the Board at the time of project engagements. Respondent Firm registration was renewed on February 22, 2018.
- 4. In 2017, Respondent's signature and seal appears on Civil Engineering, Mechanical Engineering, Plumbing, Landscape and Fire Alarm plans for the Mudshark Brewery project in Lake Havasu City, AZ. Respondent Firm appears on the title block without registration with the Board.
- 5. In 2017 and 2018, Respondent's signature and seal appears on plans for the Wild Things project in Lake Havasu City, AZ. Respondent Firm appears on the title block of project plans without registration with the Board.
- 6. In 2017, Respondent engaged in the practice of Civil Engineering, Mechanical Engineering and Plumbing plans for the All In Storage project in Lake Havasu City, AZ.

 Respondent Firm engaged in the practice of Architecture, Civil Engineering, Mechanical

Engineering and Plumbing without registration with the Board.

1.4

- 7. On February 17, 2018, the Board received a complaint alleging that Respondent's project submittals for All In Storage, Wild Things/Gauge Industrial and Mudshark Brewery Addition, were substandard and require more reviews as compared to the technical knowledge and skill of other qualified registrants who practice the same profession and submit plans to Lake Havasu City. Some submittals from Respondent have led to code/coordination issues during the permitting/construction process. It was further alleged that Respondent Firm engaged in the practice of Architecture without firm registration since April 30, 2009.
- 8. During the investigation it was discovered that Respondent's seal and signature appeared on Architectural, Mechanical Engineering, Electrical Engineering, Plumbing, Civil Engineering, Landscaping, Fire Alarm, and Fire Sprinkler plans on thirteen additional projects between 2013 and 2017. Respondent Firm engaged in Architecture, Mechanical Engineering, Electrical Engineering, Plumbing and Civil Engineering without registration with the Board.
- 9. On April 10, 2019, an Enforcement Advisory Committee convened to review the complaint against the Respondent. During the meeting, the Committee found several technical issues in the areas of mechanical, electrical and civil engineering that demonstrated that Respondent practiced well outside the area of what an architect should have done. The architectural drawings were also found to be substantially deficient and lacked demonstration of basic architectural knowledge and the other registered professions that were performed. The Committee also found that the comment review letter from Lake Havasu contained many common sense redlines that should further demonstrates Respondent's lack of technical knowledge and skill. The Committee found it troublesome that the municipality made the complaint as opposed to a disgruntled client.
- 10. The Committee concluded that Respondent engaged in and practiced professions that were clearly outside Respondent's area of expertise based on the Respondent's lack of ability to address issues the Committee presented during the interview in the areas of civil engineering and mechanical engineering. The Committee also concluded that the civil plans were not properly engineered and that Respondent does not possess a level of skill or knowledge that qualifies him

to practice engineering. Respondent stated that the city whimsically changed the requirements for the civil plans, and disagreed that his plans were deficient in any way. Even though Respondent stated that he is familiar with basic civil engineering, he was not able to answer specific questions the Committee posed concerning the general formula used to calculate retention on the civil engineering plans.

11. The Committee found that Respondent Firm was not registered with the Board until after the engagement of the projects specified in the complaint. Evidence was presented that Respondent Firm engaged in thirteen (13) additional projects without registration with the Board. Respondent admitted that the firm registration had slipped his mind because he wasn't doing much architecture, but stated that he renewed his firm's registration once he was made aware that the firm was not registered. In addressing the thirteen (13) other projects, the Respondent stated that prior to reviewing the list of projects, he did not know the other projects existed.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. The Board has jurisdiction in this matter pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-101, et seq.
- 2. The conduct alleged in the Findings of Fact constitutes grounds for discipline pursuant to A.R.S. 32-128(C)(4) as it relates to A.A.C. R4-30-301(6), in that Respondent failed to apply the appropriate technical knowledge and skill in the practice of Architecture, a Board regulated profession.
- 3. The conduct alleged in the Findings of Fact constitutes grounds for discipline pursuant to A.R.S. 32-128(C)(4) as it relates to A.R.S. 32-145(1) in that Respondent engaged in the practice of mechanical, plumbing, electrical and civil engineering without registration as an engineer and without the qualifications necessary to accept such engagements as specified in A.R.S. 32-143.
- 4. The conduct alleged in the Findings of Fact constitutes grounds for discipline pursuant to A.R.S. 32-128(C)(4) as it relates to A.R.S. 32-121 and A.R.S. 32-141, in that Respondent Firm practiced or offer to practice a Board regulated profession without Board registration.

900006	<u>ORDER</u>
2	Based on the foregoing Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, the Board issues the following
3	Order:
4	1. VOLUNTARY SURRENDER . Respondent agrees to voluntarily surrender his
5	Registered Architect Registration No. 11374 in lieu of a formal hearing.
6	2. VOLUNTARY SURRENDER OF FIRM REGISTRATION CARD.
7	Respondent agrees to voluntarily surrender and dissolve Firm Registration No. 14666.
8	3. EFFECTIVE DATE . The effective date of this Consent Agreement is the date the
9	Respondent and Board sign the Consent Agreement. If the dates are different, the effective date is
10	the later of the two dates.
	ACCEPTED and ORDERED this 27 TH day of AUGUST, 2019.
12	137
13	Jason E. Foose, R.L.S., Chairman
14	Arizona State Board of
15	Technical Registration
16	Consent Agreement and Order, No. P18-051 accepted this 25 day of
17	D. V. R.
18	George Baker and on behalf of George H. Baker, Architect, Respondents
20	ORIGINAL filed this 28th day of
21	August , 2019, with:
22 23	Arizona State Board of Technical Registration 1110 W. Washington, Suite 240
24	Phoenix, AZ 85007 JUN 24 2019
25	No. 9214 8901 9434 4600 0604 39 and
26	First Class mail this 28th day of Access, 2019, to:

George Baker George H. Baker Architect 3360 W Trona Dr Eloy, AZ 85131

By: